

Theory Q1: Solutions

RF Reflectometry



Version 1.32.

A. LUMPED ELEMENT MODEL OF A CO-AXIAL TRANSMISSION LINE

A.1 The speed of wave propagation in free space ($c_0 = 299\,792\,458$ m/s) is $c_0 = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0}$. The speed in the dielectric & diamagnetic medium is

$$v = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r}} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

A.2 Gauss law for the flux through a cylindrical surface with radius r co-axial with the the core, $a < r < b$:

$$\Delta x \, 2\pi r \, E(r) = \frac{\Delta q}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0} \Rightarrow E(r) = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2\pi \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 r} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

A.3 The capacitance

$$C_x \Delta x = \frac{\Delta q}{\varphi} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where the potential φ of the core with respect to the shield is

$$0 - \varphi = - \int_a^b E(r) \, dr \Rightarrow \varphi = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2\pi \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0} \ln \frac{b}{a} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$C_x = \frac{2\pi \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

A.4 The magnetic flux through a rectangular contour paralel to the axis equal inductance times the current:

$$\Delta x \int_a^b B(r) \, dr = L_x \Delta x I \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Biot-Savart law $B(r) = \frac{\mu_r \mu_0}{2\pi} \frac{I}{r}$ gives

$$L_x = \frac{\mu_r \mu_0}{2\pi} \ln \frac{b}{a} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

A.5 i. Adding δx length of the cable should not change its impedance. Hence the impedance Z of the following circuit must be equal to Z_0 :

$$\frac{1}{Z} = \frac{1}{Z_0 + j\omega \delta L} + \frac{1}{j\omega \delta C} = \frac{1}{Z_0} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$Z_0^2 + j\omega \delta L Z_0 - \delta L / \delta C = 0 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

(here engineering notation for $j^2 = -1$ is used.) $\delta L / \delta C = L_x / C_x$ and $\delta L \rightarrow 0$ for $\delta x \rightarrow 0$, hence

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{L_x / C_x} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

ii.

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{L_x / C_x} = \frac{\ln(b/a)}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r \mu_0}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0}} = \ln(b/a) \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r}{\varepsilon_r}} \times 59.96 \, \Omega \quad (\text{A.11})$$

For $Z_0 = 50 \, \Omega$, $\varepsilon_r = 4.0$ and $\mu_r = 1.0$ this gives $b = 5.30 a$.

B. HYPOTHETICAL TRANSMISSION LINE WITH RETURN ALONG A GROUNDED PLANE

B.1 The high-conductance ground plate can be replaced by an image of the wire with opposite direction of the current at distance $2d$ from the real wire. The magnetic fields from the real and the imaginary wires add up and need to be integrated to get the magnetic flux between the wire and the plate:

$$L_x \Delta x I = \frac{\mu\mu_0}{2\pi} I \int_a^d \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2d-r} \right) dr \Delta x \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$L_x = \frac{\mu\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{2d}{a} - 1 \right) \approx \frac{\mu\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln \frac{2d}{a} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The potential difference between the wire and the plate can be obtained similarly by integrating the combined field for the wire and its image:

$$\varphi = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_r\epsilon_0} \int_a^d \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2d-r} \right) dr = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta x} \frac{\ln(2d/a)}{2\pi\epsilon_r\epsilon_0} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$C_x = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{\varphi} \approx \frac{2\pi\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{\ln(2d/a)} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Hence the characteristic impedance $Z_0 = \sqrt{L_x/C_x}$ of the wire-plate system is

$$Z_0 = \frac{\ln(2d/a)}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r\mu_0}{\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

C. BASICS OF RF REFLECTOMETRY

C.1 At the interface, values of the voltage on both transmission lines have to coincide:

$$V_i + V_r = V_t \quad (\text{C.1})$$

The current has to be conserved at the interface, however, the incident and the reflected waves carry the current in opposite directions:

$$\frac{V_i}{Z_0} - \frac{V_r}{Z_0} = \frac{V_t}{Z_1} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

It is clear from the equation above that $V_t \neq 0$ if $Z_0 \neq Z_1$ - impedance mismatch has to cause reflection. Solving the voltage and the current equations for $\Gamma = V_r/V_i$ gives

$$\Gamma = \frac{Z_1 - Z_0}{Z_1 + Z_0} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

C.2 A π -shift implies opposite signs of V_i and V_r and hence requires $\Gamma < 0$. This implies $Z_1 < Z_0$.

D. THE SINGLE ELECTRON TRANSISTOR

D.1 i. Since any capacitance beyond C_g is neglected in our model, the quantum dot can be thought as a capacitor plate with the gate being the other plate of the same capacitor with capacitance C_g . The fixed number n of electrons trapped on the quantum dot sets a fixed-charge ($q = -ne$) boundary condition for the capacitor C_g on the QD, while the gate side is kept at a constant potential V_g . (We denote the elementary charge by $e > 0$). This implies that an excess charge of opposite sign, $-q = ne$ will accumulate on the gate, to keep electric field confined between the QD and the gate. The potential jump across the capacitor from the gate to the QD will be equal to the capacitor $q/C_g = -ne/C_g$. Hence the potential on the QD is

$$\varphi_n = V_g + \frac{-ne}{C_g} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

- ii. Bringing an infinitesimal charge δq from potential 0 to potential $\varphi(q)$ requires energy $\delta E = \varphi(q)\delta q$, and the dependence of potential $\varphi(q)$ on the accumulated charge q is linear. For the single-electron transfer, the additional charge of the electron, $-e$, changes the potential from φ_n to $\varphi_{n+1} = \varphi_n - e/C_g$. Hence the work necessary to accumulate an extra e on the QD is the integral of δE

$$\Delta E_n = -e \frac{\varphi_n + \varphi_{n+1}}{2} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$\boxed{\Delta E_n = \frac{e^2}{C_g} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - eV_g} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

Alternatively, ΔE_n can be obtained from energy conservation, by computing the change of the energy of the capacitor the work done against the electromotive force of the battery ($= -$ “work done by the battery”) for a charge $+e$ to be brought from the ground potential via the battery to the gate-side plate of the capacitor:

$$\Delta E_n = \frac{e^2(n+1)^2}{2C_g} - \frac{e^2 n^2}{2C_g} - eV_g \quad (\text{D.4})$$

Note that without $C_t \ll C_g$ approximation, the answer is $\Delta E_n = \frac{e^2}{C_g + 2C_t} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - eV_g C_g / (2C_t + C_g)$ (not required to receive full marks).

D.2 \mathcal{N} is a minimal integer n for which $\Delta E_n \geq 0$. Consider the marginal case of $\Delta E_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ which is achieved at some $V_g = V_0$,

$$\Delta E_{\mathcal{N}}(V_0) = 0 = \frac{e^2}{C_g} \left(\mathcal{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - eV_0 \quad (\text{D.5})$$

If V_g would go slightly larger than V_0 , then ΔE_n would go negative and then minimal n that makes a positive ΔE_n would jump from \mathcal{N} to $\mathcal{N} + 1$. Hence $E_c = \Delta E_{\mathcal{N}+1}(V_0)$. This gives

$$\Delta E_{\mathcal{N}+1}(V_0) = E_c = \frac{e^2}{C_g} \left(\mathcal{N} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) - eV_0 = \boxed{\frac{e^2}{C_g}} \quad (\text{D.6})$$

D.3 In a metal, only electrons in an energy range $\pm \approx k_B T$ around the Fermi level take part in the thermal motion. (Here k_B is the Boltzmann constant.) Typical energy of these electrons is $k_B T$ per particle and it may not exceed characteristic single-electron addition energy E_c , $\boxed{k_B T < E_c}$.

D.4 i. $\boxed{\tau = R_t C_t}$

- ii. Quantum uncertainty of energy (life-time broadening) h/τ must be less than the energy difference between the states with n and $n + 1$ electrons,

$$h/\tau < E_c \Rightarrow \frac{h}{R_t C_t} < \frac{e^2}{C_g} \quad (\text{D.7})$$

$$\boxed{R_t > \frac{h C_g}{e^2 C_t}} > \frac{h}{e^2} \quad (\text{D.8})$$

E. RF REFLECTOMETRY TO READ OUT SET STATE

E.1

$$\Gamma = \frac{Z_{\text{SET}} - Z_0}{Z_{\text{SET}} + Z_0} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{ON}} = \frac{10^5 - 50}{10^5 + 50} \approx 1 - 2 \frac{50}{10^5} \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{OFF}} = \lim_{Z_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_1 - Z_0}{Z_1 + Z_0} = 1 \quad (\text{E.3})$$

$$\Delta \Gamma = |\Gamma_{\text{ON}} - \Gamma_{\text{OFF}}| \approx 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad (\text{E.4})$$

E.2 Large change in reflectance requires the impedance Z_1 of the circuit to switch between $Z_1 < Z_0$ to $Z_1 > Z_0$ as the SET between ON ($Z_{\text{SET}} = 100\text{k}\Omega$) and OFF ($Z_{\text{SET}} = \infty$).

In the OFF state of the SET, the circuit is an dissipationless LC contour with resonance frequency $\omega_0 = 1/\sqrt{L_0 C_0}$ and its impedance is 0. If we choose

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{\omega_{\text{rf}}^2 C_0} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

then the impedance of the $\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{rf}}$.

Since Z_{tot} (the total impedance of the circuit) in the OFF state of the SET equals to 0, the reflectance is $\Gamma_{\text{OFF}} = -1$. As we switch to the ON state with $Z_{\text{SET}} = R_{\text{SET}} = 10^5 \Omega$, the change in reflectance will be large if $|Z_{\text{tot}}|$ in this ON state is on the order of Z_0 or larger, which is indeed the case.

For the ON state and $\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{rf}}$

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{j\omega C_0} + R_{\text{SET}}} \right)^{-1} + j\omega L_0 = \frac{R_{\text{SET}}}{1 + j\omega C_0 R_{\text{SET}}} + j\omega L_0 = \frac{R_{\text{SET}} + j\sqrt{L_0/C_0}}{1 + R_{\text{SET}}^2 C_0/L_0} \quad (\text{E.6})$$

For $C_0 = 0.4 \cdot 10^{-12}$ F, $Z_0 = 50 \Omega$ and $\omega_{\text{rf}} = 2\pi \cdot 10^8$ Hz, we have $L_0 = 6.33 \mu\text{H}$, $Z_{\text{tot}} = (158 + 6.3j)\Omega$, $\Gamma_{\text{ON}} = 0.5198 + 0.0145j$, and $\Delta\Gamma = 1.52$.

F. CHARGE SENSING WITH A SINGLE LEAD QUANTUM DOT

F.1 The SLQD readout circuit contains only reactive elements, so $|\Gamma| = 1$ will always be one. The OFF state of the SLQD corresponds to an inductor L_0 and a capacitor C_0 connected in parallel. We again choose

$$\omega_{\text{rf}} = 1/\sqrt{L_0 C_0} \quad (\text{F.1})$$

so that Z_{tot} in the OFF state is infinite and $\Gamma_{\text{OFF}} = 1$.

The ON state corresponds to $Z_{\text{SET}} = -j\frac{1}{\omega_{\text{rf}} C_q}$ and Z_{tot} at $\omega_{\text{rf}} = \omega_0$ is just the impedance of the SLQD

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{(j\omega_{\text{rf}} L_0)^{-1} + j\omega_{\text{rf}}(C_0 + C_q)} = -j\frac{1}{\omega_0 C_q} = -j\frac{C_0}{C_q} Z_C \quad (\text{F.2})$$

For the complex phase of $\Gamma_{\text{ON}} = (Z_{\text{tot}} - Z_0)/(Z_{\text{tot}} + Z_0)$ to be significantly different from zero, we need $|Z_{\text{tot}}| \sim Z_0$ since Z_{tot} is purely imaginary. Hence

$$Z_C \sim \frac{C_q}{C_0} Z_0 \quad (\text{F.3})$$

F.2 If L_0 is fixed, we can still operate the circuit at the frequency

$$\omega_{\text{rf}} = 1/\sqrt{L_0 C_0} \quad (\text{F.4})$$

that gives $\Gamma_{\text{OFF}} = 1$. However, we need to deduce a way to increase $|Z_{\text{tot}}|$ even if $Z_C \ll C_q Z_0/C_0$ is not sufficient. One of the ways to do that is to add an additional capacitance C_m in series with rest of the circuit.

This will give (at $\omega_{\text{rf}} = \omega_0$)

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = -j \left(\frac{C_0}{C_q} Z_C + \frac{1}{\omega_0 C_m} \right) = -j\omega_0^{-1} (C_q^{-1} + C_m^{-1}) \quad (\text{F.5})$$

We can satisfy the condition $|Z_{\text{tot}}| = Z_0$ (and hence $\Gamma_{\text{ON}} = j$ and $\Delta\Gamma = \sqrt{2} \sim 1$) with

$$C_m = \frac{C_q}{Z_0 C_q \omega_{\text{rf}} - 1} = \frac{C_q \sqrt{L_0 C_0}}{Z_0 C_q - \sqrt{L_0 C_0}} \quad (\text{F.6})$$

$$C_m = \frac{C_q Z_C}{Z_0 C_q/C_0 - Z_C} \stackrel{Z_C \ll Z_0 C_q/C_0}{\approx} \frac{1}{Z_0 \omega_{\text{rf}}} \quad (\text{F.7})$$